

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ø

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/286,818	04/06/1999	RONALD L. REAM	P99.0082	P99.0082 5472	
29156 7590 02/14/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			TRAN, SUSAN T		
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/200:	DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/286,818	REAM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan T. Tran	1615	

After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Susan T. Tran	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
The reply filed 31 January 2005 is acknowledged.							
1. The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered because:							
a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).							
 b. The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2). 							
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.							
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in respincludes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41 response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision to	l.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex Appeals and Interferences (37 C	kaminer's answer FR 41.50(a)(2)); o	written in r (c) a Board				
3. ⊠ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status o	of the claims after entry is below	or attached.					
4. Other:							
	ō.						
	•						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/286,818

Art Unit: 1615

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Patent Office's statement that the added limitation is not present in the specification is incorrect, and that the quoted portion of the specification in and of itself supports the limitation. Contrary to the applicant's argument, a review of the quoted portion from the specification again, shows that the added limitation is not present in the specification in a form sufficient to describe how the "same" bioequivalent is achieved.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT/EXAMINER TECH OLOGY CENTER 1600